

A2

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE

425 Eye Street N.W.

BCIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F

Washington, D.C. 20536

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: Miami

Date:

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APR 24 2003

APPLICATION: Application for Permanent Residence Pursuant to Section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act of November 2, 1966 (P.L. 89-732)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

**Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

*Robert P. Wiemann*

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Acting District Director, Miami, Florida, who certified his decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) for review. The acting district director's decision will be affirmed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Uruguay who filed this application for adjustment of status to that of a lawful permanent resident under section 1 of the Cuban Adjustment Act (CAA) of November 2, 1966. This Act provides, in pertinent part:

[T]he status of any alien who is a native or citizen of Cuba and who has been inspected and admitted or paroled into the United States subsequent to January 1, 1959 and has been physically present in the United States for at least one year, may be adjusted by the Attorney General, in his discretion and under such regulations as he may prescribe, to that of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence if the alien makes an application for such adjustment, and the alien is eligible to receive an immigrant visa and is admissible to the United States for permanent residence. The provisions of this Act shall be applicable to the spouse and child of any alien described in this subsection, regardless of their citizenship and place of birth, who are residing with such alien in the United States.

The acting district director determined that the applicant was not eligible for adjustment of status as the stepchild of a native or citizen of Cuba, pursuant to section 1 of the Act of November 2, 1966, because the applicant's mother had not established that her marriage was not entered into for the primary purpose of circumventing the immigration laws of the United States. The acting district director, therefore, denied the application.

The applicant has provided no statement or additional evidence on notice of certification.

The record reflects that on March 19, 2002, at Fort Lauderdale, Florida, the applicant's mother, [REDACTED] married [REDACTED] a native and citizen of Cuba whose immigration status was adjusted to that of a lawful permanent resident of the United States, pursuant to section 1 of the CAA. Based on that marriage, the applicant filed for adjustment of her status to that of a lawful permanent resident as the stepchild of a native and citizen of Cuba, pursuant to section 1 of the CAA.

The acting district director noted that at a Service interview on October 17, 2002, regarding the application for permanent residence of the applicant's mother, Ms. [REDACTED] and her spouse were each placed under oath and questioned separately regarding their domestic life and shared experiences. The acting district director

[REDACTED]

determined that the discrepancies encountered in that interview, a number of which relate to the inception of the marriage, and the lack of material evidence presented, strongly suggest that Ms. [REDACTED] and Mr. [REDACTED] had entered into a marriage for the primary purpose of circumventing the immigration laws of the United States. The acting district director, therefore, denied Ms. [REDACTED] application.

The AAO reviewed the Service record of Ms. [REDACTED] and noted that although Ms. [REDACTED] was offered an opportunity to submit evidence in opposition to the acting district director's findings, no additional evidence had been entered into the record of proceeding, nor did she refute or explain the basis of the contradictory testimony given at the interview. The AAO, therefore, affirmed the acting district director's decision to deny Ms. [REDACTED] application.

Accordingly, the applicant is ineligible for adjustment of status to permanent residence, as the stepchild of a native or citizen of Cuba, pursuant to section 1 of the Act of November 2, 1966. The decision of the acting district director to deny the application will be affirmed.

**ORDER:** The acting district director's decision is affirmed.